SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 545/07
Presented by Shri Sanjay Gupta
Dated 16/11/07

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 119 OF 2007

PETITIONER : Milan Hait Son of Shrikant Hait, aged about 28 years, Resident of C/o Shri Birendra Kumar Thawait, Qr. No. K-33, Kranti Nagar, Behind Sai Manglam, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
16/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENT : Guru Ghasidas University, Koni Bilaspur, (C.G.), Through: The Registrar.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

W.P.(C) 119/07

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C) No. 119/2007 **सन् 200** (12)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29.01.2007 Ku. Pushpa Dwivedi, Advocate for the petitioner. Heard on admission. The petitioner was a student of M.Sc. (Chemistry). He appeared in M.Sc. previous examination in the year 2004 and obtained 59.17% marks vide Annexure P-1. He appeared in M.Sc. final examination in the year 2006, however this time he secured only 52.33% marks. He applied for revaluation and the University vide communication of Annexure P-4 dated 10-7-2006 informed the petitioner that after revaluation, there was no change in the marks of Papers 2 and 4 of M.Sc. final. The grievance of the petitioner is that the valuation of the answer sheets of papers 2 and 4 was not properly done at the time of initial valuation and thereafter on revaluation as the petitioner obtained lesser marks than he expected and in the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondent-University to appoint an expert Professor for rechecking of the answer sheets of the petitioner. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. From perusal of mark sheets of Annexure P-1 and P-3, it appears that in the M.Sc. previous examination the petitioner obtained 59.17% marks whereas in the M.Sc. final examination, he secured 52.33% marks. Except this, there is nothing on record which may persuade this Court to	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(20)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C) No.119/2007 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

interfere in the valuation conducted by the respondent, particularly when after revaluation, the marks obtained by the petitioner remained unchanged. The petitioner has not been able to make out a ground to seek the direction which he has prayed for.

In the result, the petition is dismissed at admission stage.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

P.T.O.